### Putting it all together: Meta-analyses and systematic overviews Steve Simon P.Mean Consulting www.pmean.com

# 2. Why do I offer this webinar for free?

- I offer free statistics webinars partly for fun and partly to build up goodwill for my consulting business,
  - www.pmean.com/consult.html.
- I also provide a free newsletter about Statistics, The Monthly Mean. To sign up for the newsletter, go to
  - www.pmean.com/news

### 3. Abstract

This class helps you assess the quality of a systematic overview or meta-analysis. In this class you will learn how to: recognize sources of heterogeneity in meta-analysis; identify and avoid problems with publication bias; and explain the ethical concerns with failure to publish and with duplicate publication.

This material is derived mainly from Chapter 5 of Statistical Evidence in Medical Trials.

## 4. Outline

- 1. Pop quiz
- 2. Introduction and motivating example
- 3. Were apples combined with oranges?
- 4. Were some apples left on the tree?
- 5. Repeat of pop quiz

Note: there are also issues involving study quality (were all of the apples rotten?) and practical significance (did the pile of apples amount to more than just a hill of beans?) but we will not have time to discuss those issues today.

## 5. Pop quiz #1

A funnel plot is useful for assessing

- 1. heterogeneity
- 2. publication bias
- 3. study quality
- 4. not sure/don't know

## 6. Pop quiz #2

Cochran's Q and I<sup>2</sup> are measures of

- 1. heterogeneity
- 2. publication bias
- 3. study quality
- 4. not sure/don't know

#### 7. Introduction

• When there are multiple research studies evaluating a new intervention, you need to find a way to assess the cumulative evidence of these studies. You can do this informally, but medical researchers now use a formal process, known as meta-analysis. Meta-analysis, involves the quantitative pooling of data from two or more studies.

#### 8. Introduction

 More recently, another term, systematic overview, has come into favor. A systematic overview involves the careful review and identification of all research studies associated with a topic, but it may or may not end up pooling the results of these studies. So meta-analysis represents a subset of all the systematic overviews.

### 9. Motivating example

 In 1992, the British Medical Journal published a controversial meta-analysis. This study (Carlsen 1992) reviewed 61 papers published from 1938 and 1991 and showed that there was a significant decrease in sperm count and in seminal volume over this period of time. For example, a linear regression model on the pooled data provided an estimated average count of 113 million per ml in 1940 and 66 million per ml in 1990.

### 10. Motivating example

 Several researchers (Olsen 1995; Fisch 1996) noted heterogeneity in this meta-analysis, a mixing of apples and oranges. Studies before 1970 were dominated by studies in the United States and particularly studies in New York. Studies after 1970 included many other locations including third world countries. Thus the early studies were US apples. The later studies were international oranges. There was also substantial variation in collection methods, especially in the extent to which the subjects adhered to a minimum abstinence period.

### 11. Motivating example

• The original meta-analysis and the criticisms of it highlight both the greatest weakness and the greatest strength of meta-analysis. Meta-analysis is the quantitative pooling of data from studies with sometimes small and sometimes large disparities. Think of it as a multicenter trial where each center gets to use its own protocol and where some of the centers are left out.

### 12. Motivating example

 On the other hand, a meta-analysis lays all the cards on the table. Sitting out in the open are all the methods for selecting studies, abstracting information, and combining the findings. Meta-analysis allows objective criticism of these overt methods and even allows replication of the research.

### 13. Motivating example

• Contrast this to an invited editorial or commentary that provides a subjective summary of a research area. Even when the subjective summary is done well, you cannot effectively replicate the findings. Since a subjective review is a black box, the only way, it seems, to repudiate a subjective summary is to attack the messenger.

# 14. Were apples combined with oranges?

 Meta-analyses should not have too broad an inclusion criteria. Including too broad a range of studies can lead to problems with heterogeneity (mixing apples and oranges).

#### 15. First example of heterogeneity

• In a meta-analysis looking at antiretroviral combination therapy (Jordan 2002), both short-term and long-term outcomes were examined. A plot of duration of trial versus the log odds ratio showed that shorter duration trials of zidovudine had substantial evidence of effect (odds ratios much smaller than 1) but that the largest duration studies had little or no evidence of effect (odds ratios very close to 1).

## 16. Second example of heterogeneity

• Example: In a meta-analysis looking at dust mite control measures to help asthmatic patients (Gotzsche 1998), the studies exhibited heterogeneity across several factors.

#### 17. Second example of heterogeneity

- Type of intervention:
  - six examined chemical interventions,
  - thirteen examined physical interventions,
- four examined a combination approach.
- Research design:
  - nine of these trials were crossovers,
  - fourteen had a parallel control group.
- Blinding
  - seven studies had no blinding,
    three studies had partial blinding,
  - three studies had partial binding,
     thirteen studies used a double blind.

## nine other studies had an average age of 30 or more, five studies had a greater mix of ages.

Duration

Age of patients

only 9 or 10 years,

- eleven studies lasted eight weeks or less,
- five studies lasted a full year,
- seven studies had an intermediate duration

18. Second example of

heterogeneity

nine studies the average age of the patients was

#### 19. Possible sources of heterogeneity

- This list is adapted from Horwitz 1987
  - Inclusion/exclusion criteria
  - Geographical limitations
  - Independent versus matched controls
  - Dose/timing of drug administration
  - Length of follow-up
  - Drop-out rates
  - Allowable physician discretion
  - Outcome measure

#### 20. Measuring heterogeneity

- Cochran's Q: A value close to the number of studies is good, but a value much larger is bad.
- I<sup>2</sup>: ranges between 0% and 100%, larger values indicating greater heterogeneity.
- Many researchers recommend a qualitiative assessment of heterogeneity.

## 21. Forest plot

- The forest plot provides a graphical summary of the studies. This plot can be used to evaluate heterogeneity.
  - Location of square represents the point estimate,
  - Size of square represents weight associated with that estimate, and
  - Lines drawn to upper and lower confidence limits.

## 22. Forest plot

- Look for marked departures from a normal random scatter:
  - Most studies cluster together, but one or two outlying studies (but okay if outlying studies have small sample sizes).
  - Bimodal patterns (e.g., half the studies show a strong effect, half show little or no effect).



### 24. Handling heterogeneity

- There are several common approaches for coping with heterogeneity
  - Strict inclusion/exclusion criteria
  - Sensitivity/subgroup analysis
  - Meta-regression
  - "Just say no"

## 25. Example of strict inclusion/exclusion criteria

- A meta-analysis of topical NSAIDs for musculoskelatal pain (Mason 2004) identified 60 target papers, but for 12 of the papers, there was no data that could be extracted for a meta-analysis. An additional 23 studies were removed based on the following exclusion criteria:

   no studies for mouth or eve diseases:
  - no studies where fewer than 10 patients were randomized to the treatment:
  - no studies where treatment occurred less frequently than daily;
  - no observational studies; and
  - no unblinded studies.

## 26. First example of strict sensitivity/subgroup analysis

 In a study of extra corporeal shock wave therapy for plantar heel pain (Thomson 2005), six studies met the researchers inclusion criteria, but one study did not report a standard deviation for the outcome measure. The authors were forced to estimate what the standard deviation should be for this study. As a quality check, they also ran a meta-analysis without this study and found that a modest effect in favor of the therapy was no longer statistically significant.

## 27. Second example of strict sensitivity/subgroup analysis

- In a study of topical NSAIDs for osteoarthritis and tendinitis (Mason 2004), researchers identified 25 trials relating to efficacy or harm, including 14 placebocontrolled trials. These studies varied substantially in – quality scores.
  - number of patients studied,
  - type of outcome measure (physician determined versus self report) and
  - condition being treated (osteoarthritis versus other musculoskeletal conditions).
- But when the results were tabulated separately for low and high quality scores, small and large studies, etc., there were no statistically significant differences.

### 28. Meta-regression

 You can use meta-regression to try to adjust for heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. In metaregression, each study becomes a data point, and various study characteristics, such as the severity of illness at baseline, the dose of the medication being given, etc. become independent variables. This is an approach that would work very similarly to the adjustment for covariates in a regression model. The result, meta-regression, is an area of active research and looks to be a promising way to handle heterogeneity in a more rigorous fashion.

#### 29. Example of meta-regression

 In a study of diagnostic tests for endometrial hyperplasia (Clark 2004), researchers identified 27 studies using miniature endometrial biopsy devices or ultrasonography. In some of the studies, verification of the diagnosis was delayed by more than 24 hours. Although the ability to discriminate between diseased and healthy patients was present in most studies, the discriminatory power, as measured by the diagnostic odds ratio was four times weaker among studies with delayed verification than studies with no delay.

#### 30. "Just say no"

• If the degree of heterogeneity is too extreme, you should just say no and refuse to run a meta-analysis. You can still discuss the studies in a qualitative fashion, but do not try to compute an overall estimate of effect because that estimate would be meaningless.

### 31. Example of "Just say no"

- In a systematic review of beta-2 agonists for treating chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Husereau 2004), researchers identified 12 studies. But the authors could not pool the results because they
  - Ithors could not pool the results because they "found that even commonly measured outcomes, such as FEV1, could not be combined by meta-analysis because of differences in how they were reported. For example, in the six trials comparing salmeterol with placebo, FEV1 was reported as a mean change in percent predicted, a mean change overall, a mean difference between trial arms, no difference (without data), baseline and overall FEV1 (after 24 hrs without medication) and as an 0 to 12 hour area-under-the-curve (FEV1-AUC) function. We were not successful in obtaining more data from study authors. We also had concerns about the meta-analysis of data from trials of parallel and crossover design and differences in spirometry protocols including allowable medications. Therefore, we decided on a best evidence synthesis approach instead."

#### 32. On your own

Read the following excerpts and comment on the degree to which heterogeneity is present among the studies being examined.

#### 33. Psychoeducation for depression, anxiety and psychological distress: a meta-analysis (1 of 3)

- Studies were included if: the psychoeducation targeted depression, anxiety or psychological distress; participants were described as either experiencing mood or anxiety disorders; or if they experienced elevated scores (equal to or above a specified cut-off score, see Table 1) on depression, anxiety or psychological distress scales. To be included, studies were required to have a randomized controlled design, which incorporated a no intervention, attention-placebo or a waitlist control group to which psychoeducation was compared. All included studies were required to report mental health outcomes (depression, anxiety or psychological distress) and were published in peer-reviewed, English language journals. There was no restriction on the age of participants
  - www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/7/79

#### 34. Psychoeducation for depression, anxiety and psychological distress: a meta-analysis (2 of 3)

Studies were excluded if the education component was offered in addition to other components (for example, psychotherapy with elements of psychoeducation or psychoeducation enhanced with treatment as usual) or when the intervention was compared solely to a (potentially) active treatment (for example, medication, when the intervention was not passive psychoeducation but involved an active intervention (for example, components of CBT or IPT, relaxation exercises or homework or group discussion); or when psychoeducation was aimed at target groups where there was a concomitant physical health or mental disorder; or where the target of the intervention was a carer or parent of the person with anxiety or depression (for example, medical illness, other mental health disorders, parental programmes, family-caregiver programmes)

#### 35. Psychoeducation for depression, anxiety and psychological distress: a meta-analysis (3 of 3)

- Of the five relevant papers, four papers describing three studies used depressive symptoms or disorders as primary outcome measure, while one study reported psychological distress as an outcome measure (see Table 1). Two studies used evidence-based medical/psychological depression/anxiety information; one of them also gave advice. Two studies used mailed feedback based on test results and provided advice and one study used leaflets as intervention type. Two papers reporting one study used a website. Two studies compared the intervention with an attention placebo-control, while two studies compared the intervention to no intervention condition. One study recruited participants from the community, one study used primary care participants, one study recruited employees and one study included college students. A total of 694 participants were recruited across all the studies. All included studies used individual rather than group formats. Interventions across all studies ranged from one single email or leaflet to six sessions of psychoeducation
- 36. Traditional Chinese medicines in the treatment of hepatocellular cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis (1 of 2)
- To be eligible for inclusion in our systematic review, studies had to have enrolled adult patients (< 184 years) with liver cancer. The patients had to be randomly allocated to an active TCM formulation treatment or a control group with either placebo or no treatment. In addition, any co-intervention had to be the same in both groups except for the TCM formulation. We excluded studies that reported only laboratory values rather than clinical responses. We also excluded direct comparisons of TCM formulations **TCM Interventions:** The TCM interventions identified in this study were principally combinations of different heral medicines or animal/insect extracts (Additional file 1). A brief outline on the oncologic and immunologic pharmacology of the most commonly used ingredients is presented below. To be eligible for inclusion in our systematic review, studies had to have
- presented below. Astragalus: Astragalus appears to have a number of immunomodulatory properties [55-57]. Astragalus appears to have anti-tumour activity where its potentiates LAK cell activity in vitro when used in combination with IL-[258]. Astragalus appears to restore in vitro T-cell function, which is suppressed in cancer patients[59].
  - www.ieccr.com/content/28/1/112

- 37. Traditional Chinese medicines in the treatment of hepatocellular cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis (2 of 2)
- Panax ginseng: Panax ginseng and its chemical constituents ware found to have inhibitory effects on putative carcinogenesis mechanisms, e.g., cell proliferation and apoptorsis, immunosurveillance and angiogenesis(60). Cinsensides from Panax ginseng have been shown to inhibit tumor cell invasion and to suppress sister chromatid exchanges in human lymphocytes(61). Toad skin secretions (bufdoxin): The toad skin secretion bufalin was found to induce apoptosis in human-tukemia cells by altering expression of apoptotic genes c-myc and bc2/82/. Other toad skin secretions like 3-form/loxyresbufdgenin, 19- woduralin, 19- soudesact/ytonobufagin, 4-fydroxychobufagin and 1- hydroxybalalin were found to exert inhibitory effects on KB, HL-60 and MH-60 Beate setter 4-full-back-

- cancer cell lines[63]. Beetle extracts (Wjabris): An extract from Myabris phaleratais, the dried body of the Chinese bilser beetle, was shown to have arti-cancer activity via inducing cancer cell apoptosis and was associated with little toxicity[64]. Attractytodes: Attractytodes appears to have anticancer activity by inducing apoptosis and cytotoxic effects against leukemia and other cancer cell lines[65]. Bupleurum: Sadiosaponin From Bupleurum falcatum were shown to exhibit potent arti-cell adhesive activity on solid tumour cells and to have strong hemolytic action[66].
- Curcuma: Curcuma longa may have immunostimulatory activity[67].

#### 38. Were some apples left on the tree?

Publication bias: the tendency on the parts of investigators, reviewers, and editors to submit or accept manuscripts for publication based on the direction or strength of the study findings. There is solid empirical evidence (e.g., Dickersin 1990) that negative studies are less likely to be published.

#### 39. Ethical concerns with failure to publish

Researchers who fail to publish their research, however, are behaving unethically (Chalmers 1990). These research studies almost always use human volunteers. These volunteers might be participating because they need the money or perhaps they are curious about the scientific process. But many of them volunteer because they want to help others who have the same disease or condition. These volunteers submit themselves willingly to some level of inconvenience, and possibly additional pain and risk. If you ask these volunteers to make this sacrifice, but you do not publish, you have abused their good will.

#### 40. Should unpublished studies be included?

The inclusion of unpublished studies, however, is controversial. At least one reference (Cook 1993), has argued that unpublished studies have failed to meet a basic quality screen, the peer review process. Including studies that have not been peer reviewed will lower the overall quality of the meta-analysis. This opinion, however, is in the minority, and most experts in meta-analysis suggest that you include unpublished studies if you can find them. Failure to include unpublished studies can lead to serious bias.

### 41. Duplicate publication

• Duplicate publication is the flip side of the same coin. The data from some studies may appear twice (or even three times) in the peer-reviewed literature, without appropriate attribution. If you double count these studies accidentally, you will produce a biased result because duplicate publications are more likely to be positive.

#### 42. Ethical concerns with duplicate publication

- Duplicate publication raises serious ethical issues:
  - Violation of copyright Padding of resumes
- Abuse of volunteer services of referees/editors
- Taking page space away from other deserving publications.
- There are reasonable justifications for duplicate publication, such as translating a publication into English to insure a wider dissemination of the research findings. These exceptions, however, would always have an obvious citation of the original source.

## 43. Example of duplicate publication

 In 84 studies of the effect of ondansetron on postoperative emesis, 14 (17%) were second or even third time publications of the same data-set (Tramer 1997). The duplicate studies had much larger effects and adding the duplicates to the originals produced an overestimation of treatment efficacy of 23%. Tracking down the duplicate publications was quite difficult. More than 90% of the duplicate publications did not crossreference the other studies. Four pairs of identical trials were published by completely different authors without any common authorship.

# 44. Don't rely exclusively on Medline

While a Medline search is a very effective way to identify published research, it should not be the only source of publications for a meta-analysis. There are many important journals which are not included in Medline. It is hard to get an accurate count of how many journals do NOT appear in Medline, but the numbers appear to be substantial. You might suspect that journals indexed by Medline are more prestigious and more likely to publish positive findings than other journals, but I am unaware of any data to substantiate this. Still, a search that included only Medline articles would be considered grossly inadequate in most situations.

## 45. Don't rely English-language only publications

 Some meta-analyses restrict their attention to English language publications only. While this may seem like a convenience, in some situations, researchers might tend to publish in an English language journal for those trials which are positive, and publish in a (presumably less prestigious) native language journal for those trials which are negative (Gregoire 1995). Restrictions to English language only publications is especially troublesome for complementary and alternative medicine, since so much of this research appears in non-English language journals.

## 46. Using a funnel plot to detect publication bias

- The most common approach to evaluate publication bias is to use a funnel plot. The funnel plot displays
  - the results of the individual studies (e.g. the log odds ratio) on the horizontal axis,
  - the size of the study (or sometimes the standard error of the study) on the vertical axis.
- Often a reference line is drawn at the value that represents no effect.

# 47. Using a funnel plot to detect publication bias

- The rationale behind this plot
  - big studies get published no matter what the result
  - smaller studies are subject to publication bias
- If there is no publication bias, then the funnel plot should show symmetry for both small sample sizes and large sample sizes, though you should expect to see less variation as the sample size increases. This leads to a funnel shape.

### 48. Example of a funnel plot

- The rationale behind this plot
  - big studies get published no matter what
  - smaller studies are subject to publication bias
- If there is no publication bias, then the funnel plot should show symmetry for both small sample sizes and large sample sizes, though you should expect to see less variation as the sample size increases. This leads to a funnel shape.
- Although funnel plots are commonly used, there is some suggestion that they are not effective.





## 51. How to avoid or minimize problems with publication bias

- 1. Use several bibliographic databases, not just Medline.
- 2. Search through registries of clinical trials.
- 3. Hand search through specialized journals
- 4. Examine bibliographies of articles found on first pass through.
- 5. Examine "gray literature" (presentations, dissertations, etc.)
- 6. Send out letter to prominent leaders in the area asking for help.

#### 52. On your own

• Read the following excerpts and comment on the extent to which the researchers went to avoid publication bias.

### 53. On your own

• Read the following excerpts and comment on the extent to which the researchers went to avoid publication bias.

54. Balloon kyphoplasty in malignant spinal fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis

- A systematic literature search was carried out up to September 2008 using several databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, ISI Proceedings, The Cochrane Library, DARE, NHS EED and the HTA Database of the CRD). The search strategy was: #1: (balloon kyphoplasty), #2: (fracture\*) or (vertebra\*) or (neoplasm\*) or (tumor\*), #3: #1 and #2. There were no language restrictions. The search was completed manually using references from identified studies and reviews [17], and contact was made with experts in the field. No contact was made with industry.
  - www.biomedcentral.com/1472-684X/8/12

- 55. Efficacy of pharmacotherapies for short-term smoking abstinance: A systematic review and meta-analysis
- In consultation with a medical librarian (PR), we established a search strategy. We searched independently, in duplicate, the following 10 databases (from inception to October 1, 2008): MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane CENTRAL, AMED, CINAHL, TOXNET, Development and Reproductive Toxicology, Hazardous Substances Databank, Psych-info and Web of ScienceDirect, and Ingenta, including articles in full text from approximately 1700 journals since 1993). In addition, we searched the bibliographies of published systematic reviews. [5, 19, 7, 10, 11, 13, 26] and health technology assessments. [27] Searches were not limited by language, sex or age.

www.harmreductionjournal.com/content/6/1/25

#### 56. Repeat of pop quiz #1

A funnel plot is useful for assessing

- 1. heterogeneity
- 2. publication bias
- 3. study quality
- 4. not sure/don't know

### 57. Repeat of pop quiz #2

Cochran's Q and I<sup>2</sup> are measures of

- 1. heterogeneity
- 2. publication bias
- 3. study quality
- 4. not sure/don't know